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# Preface

Optional

# Introduction

Sometimes the road to the finish line can be a rocky road. There is a great amount of knowledge in working with a project like this. We found out, that we need to work in a structured manner. It is important to plan our time, set some goals which are reachable within a reasonable amount of time. The learning process has been dysfunctional, because we started out with working in different directions. A good example would be, the case where we found it difficult to agree on, which outlook and functionalities the final solution should provide. The group had disagreements, in relation to the output of the tool, and the methods of data processing. This regarding the output to the employee, and in which format it should be delivered in.

For content see Appendix 2 “Process Report – VIA Engineering Guidelines”.

**Process of work - Evaluation of tools -**

Our group had cooperation issues, due to the fact, that we found it difficult to meet up as a group. It was an issue of focus and coordinating of same. We have not build a habit of working together, which is unfortunal.

Maybe the use of a time-planning-tool, could have helped up to maintain on the track.

- Planning - Execution - Reflections - Init phase 🡪 complete project - Constructive criticism - Avoid personal blaming.

# Group Description

**Intro - group members:**

**Florin:**

Florin is from Romania, but for 9 years he has lived in other countries than his own. Most of the time has been spent in Denmark but also countries like Spain, France, Germany, Latvia, Lithuania, Estonia and Slovenia. He has a professional background in international sales and management and ERP solutions. Academically speaking, he has a background in Marketing and Management. Prior to this group project, he holds a vast experience in group work in academical and professional environment with stakeholders of different professional and cultural background.

**Jimmi:**

Jimmi is from Denmark and have lived there for the most of his life. He has a professional background as a Physiotherapist, he has participated groupwork and projects many times during his education. He has worked with students from Iceland, Norway, Turkey and The Faroe Islands. By doing so, on a personally level, it rises the horizon towards other people and their way of living.

**Teakosheen:**

**Kenneth:**

**Project Initiation:**

At the beginning, we had an idea about how the project should look like. This image has been altered along the way. We found a need for revise our delimitations, we planned to many things, which not stood hold towards our actual amount of time.

If we look back in retrospective, we would have planned more specific according to the time-management.

**Project Description:**

The part with analysis and delimitations went fine, without any disagreements. We all had the same idea about what we wanted to present to the costumer.

**Project Execution:**

We ended up having limited resources, due to a lack of efficient communication.

There was a serious lack of meeting and coordinating in the group.

**Methods:**

* **Follow up on project. - which methods - useful?**
* **Could other methods be used If started the project today?**

**Results:**

* **Are you satisfied with the project results?**
* **Project risks - identifier - how to monitor and handle?**

We had a risk identifier which stated, a lack of presence could be a threat.

According to the group contract, we expected group members to show up and participate.

* **What was less successful? Why?**

We should have started out with working together, that would have created a habit of teamwork. By meeting up, the communication would be better, and thereby would the frustration be less.

Personal Reflections: 🡪 important.

- Deal with this each individual member of the group.

Project Supervision:

We should have consulted our supervisors more, then we did, to benefit more from their guidance.

Project Conclusion:

Group summary: to do:

Not to do:

List of recommendations:

For content see Appendix 2 “Process Report – VIA Engineering Guidelines”.
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